

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,822	11/04/2003	Elmer Algin Rose	88030096	6250
34637 75	590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BIDDLE & ASSOCIATES 6300 POWERS FERRY ROAD			GUSHI, ROSS N	
SUITE 600-183			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30339			2833	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		А.н			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/700,822	ROSE, ELMER ALGIN			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ross N. Gushi	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r sh t with the o	correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY (check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	·			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	•			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 2-20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/700,822

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments add new limitations which would require substantial consideration and a new analysis of the claims, for example the new limitations to claim 2, including that the yoke surrounding the perimeter of the hole lies in substantially the same plane is a new limitation not previously searched or considered and which would require a new analysis of the claims

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINER